

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,394	_	07/03/2003	John Melideo	J000-P0363US	5950	
33356	7590	09/05/2006		EXAMINER		
SoCAL IP		ROUP LLP BLVD. STE 120	BAUTISTA, XIOMARA L			
		GE, CA 91362	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		•		2179		
				DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/614,394	MELIDEO, JOHN
Examiner	Art Unit
X. L. Bautista	2179

•	Examine	7.1.01.1.1						
	X. L. Bautista	2179						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
 a) The periods. a) In the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	e of the final rejection.							
	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		coausc					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	1 .1						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	•	·	_					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,11-17,22-28 and 33</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidax	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	-		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	10(s). <u>8/28/06</u>						
		X. L. Bautista Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2179						

Application No. 10/614,394

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues include "...the object defines a function for sending a data trigger to a switch over a data network to initiate a telephone call over a telephone network between a first telephone corresponding to a previously stored telephone number associated with the user of the client computer and a second telephone corresponding to the identified telephone number..."

Only "...the object defines a function for sending a data trigger to a switch over a data network to initiate a telephone call over a telephone network between a previously stored telephone number and the identified telephone number..." was claimed prior to Final Rejection.